

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/602,335	Applicant(s) FORSTALL ET AL.	
	Examiner JENNIFER N. TO	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) JENNIFER N. TO.

(2) BRIAN J. GUSTAFON.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

APPLICANT AGREED TO AMEND CLAIM 38 ACCORDNACE TO EXAMINER AMENDMENT TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN THE PREVIOUS EXAMINER AMENDMENT (I.E. CLAIM 38, LINE 12 REPLACE "MEANS" WITH "DEVICE").

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)